

Good morning, all! My name is Britt Welch and I welcome you to Day 1 of the "Minimizing Risk" training sessions, where we hope to help providers meet licensing requirements related to risk, specifically regulations 160C, 520C, 520D and beyond.

This is a joint training from the Office of Licensing and the Office of Clinical Quality Management.

I want to remind you that this is 3-part training series. Each session builds off the previous day's session. It our sincere hope that each of you here, today, will return for Days 2 and 3.

Please note that each session has a separate registration link. The links were provided to you in the notice that went out from the Office of Licensing on March 22. Simply click on each date to register for that day's session.

Again, we hope that you will do your best to attend each session!

We think this is especially important if your organization was previously found noncompliant on any of these regulations that we'll be covering.



Again, We greatly appreciate your being here. We know you are busy and it means a lot to us that you are taking the time to attend all 3 sessions.

To ensure you get all future announcements, including training and otherwise, from the office of Licensing, please subscribe to the free email list!

The link to the DBHDS Office of Licensing webpage is provided on this slide. At that link, you will find the subscribe button as indicated by the blue arrow pictured.



You will hear from several presenters throughout this training.

From the Office of Licensing, your presenters will be:

- Mackenzie Glassco, Associate Director of Quality and Compliance
- Michele Laird, Manager of the Incident Management Unit, and
- Larisa Terwilliger, Training Coordinator with the Office of Licensing

From the Office of Clinical Quality Management, your presenters will be:

- Mary Beth Cox, Quality Improvement Coordinator, and
- Myself, Britt Welch, Director of Community Quality Management

Our two offices came together to develop this training and we hope to partner for more, future trainings!

## Thank you!

+

0

Many thanks to everybody who played a part in developing this training and the tools/resources we will be reviewing.

- Region 5 Quality Council members
- Risk Management Review Committee members
- Provider, CSB and licensing specialist key informants and testers
- Colleagues from the:
  - Office of Community Quality
     Improvement
  - Office of Clinical Quality Management
  - Office of Integrated Health
  - Office of Licensing



To touch on a few Housekeeping items:

- Please put ALL questions and comments in the "Q&A" feature
   Again, please use the "Q&A" feature for your questions and comments
- The slides and documents will be posted on the Licensing Website
- Additionally, there be a Q&A produced after the training series.

Are y'all about ready to get going on this?!

Let's do it!



The purpose of the 3-day training series is quite simply to Provide you with information, tools and resources that can assist you in achieving compliance with regulatory requirements

- 160.C
- 520.C and
- 520.D, along with
- The related skills and tasks that need to be completed.

As you are aware, these 3 requirements focus on:

- Tracking serious incidents, and
- Conducting a systemic risk assessment review

And, Spoiler Alert! I think you're really going to like this folks!



Again, there are three sessions for this training. Each session builds off the previous day's training. I encourage you to attend each session.

You will be introduced to new tools that that have been tested with CSBs and Private Providers. We think you will find these useful and practical for helping you meet these regulations.

We also hope to clarify previously confusing aspects of the regs and provide helpful tips and suggestions throughout the 3 sessions.

There WILL be homework after this and the second session. I know you thought you were thru with homework a few years ago, or in my case many years ago...

But let's be clear about what we trying to do here and what we're after:

- We want you gain familiarity and a level of comfort with the tools
- You won't be graded on the homework, but we do want to know from you your experience in using the tools
- To accomplish that, we're integrating a model of learning that is used in many Psychology Doctorate programs

It's a model of:

- Classroom
   Application in the field of what was here
- Application in the field of what you've learned
   Back to the electron to diagram to diagram to diagram.
- Back to the classroom to discuss how things went and
   And then head to contain a what is becaused
- And then, back to applying what is learned

Today's session will focus on the Systemic Risk Assessment.

Session 2 will focus on understanding and tracking serious incidents and care concerns.

In session 3, we will 'pull it all together'.

- There will be a post-test after each session. Please--Please take time to complete the post-test. It gives us feedback on training areas WE need to improve!
- We're allowing time during the session to complete the post-test and truly appreciate your helping us out.

Are you with us? Alright! Let's take a look at our Learning Objectives for Day 1.

	Understand	• why we are focusing on these regulations
	Understand the difference between:	<ul> <li>quality improvement plans and risk management plans</li> </ul>
	Know about	• the Office of Licensing's resources for 520C and D and where to find them.
<b>Today's</b> Learning Objectives	Understand	<ul> <li>providers' responsibilities for 520 C and D.</li> </ul>
Objectives	Understand	<ul> <li>what a systemic risk assessment review is and why it is important.</li> </ul>
	Be able to	• conduct an annual systemic risk assessment with all components of 520C, plus 520D.
	Be more confident that	• you can achieve compliance with 520 C and D.

Today we're focusing on the Systemic Risk Assessment.

Our learning objectives for the rest of today's session are for you to:

- Understand
  - why we're focusing on these regulations
- Understand the difference between:
  - quality improvement plans and risk management plans. Again, that's the <u>difference</u>.....between Quality Improvement Plans and Risk Management Plans
- Know about
  - the Office of Licensing's resources for 520C and D and where to find them.
- Understand
   You
  - Your responsibilities as providers' for 520 C and D.
- Understand
  - what a systemic risk assessment review is and why it is important.
- Be able to

٠

- conduct an annual systemic risk assessment with all components of 520C, plus 520D.
- Be more confident that
  - you can achieve compliance with 520 C and D.



Let's briefly review the question – Why is risk management important?

- A risk means there is a chance of an adverse event or outcome.
- Basically, there is a possibility that something harmful could happen.
- Risk management consists of processes and practices that help us to understand and reduce risk.
- We want to reduce the chance of something harmful happening.
- What kind of risks are we talking about?
  - Two main areas of risk in out field: Clinical and Administrative
    - 1. Clinical aspects or service delivery such as infections or falls, etc.
    - 2. On the Administrative side, think about those aspects that support service provision, so think about staff turnover, financial viability/sustainability
- Ultimately, Risk Management seeks to reduce risk in order to improve outcomes for individuals and to improve the effectiveness of services.



So, why are we focusing on these specific regulations?

- The Risk Management Review Committee (RMRC) and the Regional Quality Council in Region 5 (RQC5) are subcommittees in the DBHDS Quality Management System.
- Both of these subcommittees are required to propose a quality improvement initiative each year.
- For FY23, the current fiscal year, these subcommittees, both, noticed low performance on these regulations.
- They decided to partner and proposed a quality improvement initiative to try to improve performance across the system on these regulations.
- One piece of data they looked at was the performance measure indicator or PMI for regulation 520.
- This PMI measures the percent of licensed, developmental disability providers that have met 100% (that is, all) of the risk management requirements, excluding Not Applicable and Not Determined.
- The result for FY21 was 62%. The result for FY22 was 61%. The goal for this measure is 86%.

Let's take a look, on the next slide, at the results on each specific sub-regulation.

Measure (if applicable)	Data Source	Frequency	Target	Q1 2022	Q2 2022	Q3 2022	Q4 2022	FY 2022	Q1 2023
% of licensed DD providers that have met 100% of the risk management requirements (excludes NA and ND)	520 A-E	quarterly	<u>&gt;</u> 86%	62%	63%	61%	61%	61%	57%
Designated person with training or experience	E204	quarterly	>86%	75%	76%	81%	78%	77%	72%
responsible for risk management function	5204	quarterry	200%	13%	/0%	01%	1070	///0	1270
Implements a written plan	520B	quarterly	<u>&gt;</u> 86%	91%	87%	86%	87%	89%	88%
Conducts annual systemic risk assessment	520C	quarterly	<u>&gt;</u> 86%						
- environment of care	520C1	quarterly	<u>&gt;</u> 86%	84%	77%	90%	88%	85%	83%
- clinical assessment/reassessment	520C2	quarterly	<u>&gt;</u> 86%	80%	77%	88%	84%	81%	80%
staff competence / adequacy of staffing	520C3	quarterly	<u>&gt;</u> 86%	79%	77%	90%	85%	80%	82%
use of high risk procedures	520C4	quarterly	<u>&gt;</u> 86%	79%	74%	87%	82%	79%	80%
- review of serious incidents	520C5	quarterly	<u>&gt;</u> 86%	83%	83%	91%	87%	85%	83%
Systemic risk assessment incorporates risk triggers and thresholds	520D	quarterly	<u>&gt;</u> 86%	76%	83%	79%	76%	79%	75%
Conducts annual safety inspection	520E	quarterly	<u>&gt;</u> 86%	92%	82%	89%	95%	ΎΓ	

- The red box at the top of this slide shows the result of 61% for FY22, as we just discussed.
- The information on the bottom shows the results for each sub-regulation of 520.
- The red box highlights the components 520C1,2,3,4,5 and 520D.
- The red font means 75% or less; the gold font means between 76% and 85%, and the green font means 85% or higher.
- You can see that even during FY22, although we achieved green in many areas, no regulation surpassed 86% consistently and none reached 86% for the year.
- In addition, several results specifically 520C3, 520C4 and 520D were the lowest at 79%-80%.
- This stood out to the committees, and they believe that if we can improve 520C and D, it will improve the overall measure for 520.



- And we are *VERY* close! We're hoping you will join us in trying to meet the goal for this measure.
- This is another way of looking at that same data. Here, we see the results for each quarter in FY22 for each sub-regulation.
- You can see the red goal line of at least 86% across the graph.
- You can see that many regulations made it or almost made it at some time during the year. However, 520D never reached 86%.
- We are providing this training to help providers achieve success with these measures.
- We are all in this together your success is everybody's success!



- Folks, before I hand-off the presentation to my teammate, Mackenzie Glassco, I want y'all to hang on to these words I'm about to say to you:
- These metrics...they are achievable, and YOU...CAN...DO IT!

## The Foundation

## Understanding Licensing Regulations, Tools and Resources

Mackenzie Glassco Associate Director of Quality and Compliance DBHDS Office of Licensing



- Thank you and good morning everyone. Right now, we're going to take a few minutes to review the foundation. This includes the mission and vision of the Office of Licensing, navigating the OL website for resources and tools as well as a brief discussion related to the regulations.
- As you know, a good foundation is the key to success

## **DBHDS Office of Licensing** (OL)



- The Office of Licensing's mission is to be the regulatory authority for DBHDS licensed service delivery systems through effective oversight.
- The vision of the Office of Licensing is to provide consistent, responsive, and reliable regulatory oversight to DBHDS licensed providers by supporting high quality services to meet the diverse needs of its clients.
- On your screen is the link the Office of Licensing's website. From here, you can access the regulations, guidance documents, tools, resources and training documents which are available to assist providers in achieving compliance with the regulatory requirements.

## Navigating the OL Website

## CORRESPONDENCE

- Changes from the Emergency BHE Regulations to the Final BHE Regulations Memo (February 2023)
- <u>Changes from the Emergency ASAM Regulations to the Final ASAM Regulations Memo</u> (February 2023)
- <u>Changes from the Emergency Children's ASAM Regulations to the Final Children's ASAM Regulations Memo</u> (February 2023)
- <u>Revised-Care-Concern-Criteria-Level-I-Serious-Incidents-Memo</u> (February 2023)
- 2023 Annual Inspections for Providers of Developmental Services Memo (January 2023)
- <u>Medication Assisted Opioid Treatment Services (MAT) legislative changes effective January 1, 2023 Memo</u> (December 2022)
- TDT and Outpatient Memo (August 2022)
- New Regulation Affecting 12VAC35-46 Effective 1-10-22 Memo (December 2021)
- <u>Word Version of the Sponsor Certification Form</u>
- <u>Sponsored Provider Certification Process</u> (November 2021)
- December 1, 2021 Behavioral Health Enhancement (BHE) Licensed Services (October 2021)
- Memo Grace Period for Documentation of ISPs (March 2021)

## What is a correspondence and how is a provider notified?



## Navigating the OL Website

The Office of Licensing sends notifications to providers who have signed up for Constant Contact. When memos are sent, they are typically posted to the Office of Licensing website under the correspondence section. Items listed under this section include pertinent information that licensed providers may find helpful. As Mary Beth mentioned at the beginning of the presentation, you can subscribe to the email list from the Office of Licensing website. It is also highly recommended that you frequent the Office of Licensing website so that you can stay informed. Please make sure that you are signed up to receive notifications from the Office of Licensing, we cannot stress this enough.

16

Slide 16

## Navigating the OL Website

• What is a guidance document? A "guidance document" is any document developed by a state agency that provides information or guidance of a general nature to agency staff or the public to interpret or implement statutes or the agency's regulations.

Guidance

- LIC 16: Guidance for A Quality Improvement Program (November 2020)
- LIC 17: Guidance for Serious Incident Reporting (November 2020)
- LIC 18: Individuals with Developmental Disabilities with High Risk Health Conditions (June 2020)
- LIC 19: Corrective Action Plans (CAPs) (August 2020)
- LIC 20: Guidance on Incident Reporting Requirements (August 2020)
- LIC 21: Guidance for Risk Management (August 2020)

All current DBHDS Guidance Documents can be found here: https://www.townhall.virginia.gov/L/GDocs.cfm?BoardID=65



A "guidance document" is any document developed by a state agency that provides information or guidance of a general nature to agency staff or the public to interpret or implement statutes or the agency's regulations.

We have several guidance documents located on the Office of Licensing's website. The Office of Licensing develops guidance documents when it is determined that more detailed explanations are needed related to interpreting the regulations.

ALL current DBHDS Guidance Documents can be found here by clicking on the link

Mary Beth, please click on the link to open

As you can see, there are 33 guidance documents relevant to DBHDS

Thank you, next slide

17

Slide 17

Agency 1	nt of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services
Guidance Document Informa	Guidance for Risk Management
Document ID	LIC 21
Summary	This document contains guidance to providers regarding the requirements for risk management adopted to address compliance with the Department of Justice's Settlement Agreement with Virginia within the Rules and Regulations for Licensing Providers by the Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services [12VAC35-105] ("Licensing Regulations"). Questions should be directed to Jae Benz, phone (804) 786-1747 or email jae.benz@dbhds.virginia.gov.
Effective Date	8/27/2020
View document text Po	sted On 12/20/2022 Document on Town Hall
Explanation or Citations	Regulations addressed: Note all regulatory language is formatted in italics while guidance language is in plain text located within boxes under the label "guidance." 12VAC35-105-20, Definitions. 12VAC35-105-520. Risk management. Settlement Agreement indicators addressed: V.C.1.1, V.C.1.4, V.C.1.5, V.C.4.1, V.C.4.3, and V.C.4.4

This is an example of what you would see when you click on the guidance for risk management.

To view the document text, you would then click the "view document text link"



Once the link opens, you are able to view the guidance document

A provider who follows guidance documents and incorporates them into their policies and procedures is more likely to be compliant with the DBHDS rules and regulations.

Navigating the OL Website-Root Cause Analysis	
QUALITY IMPROVEMENT-RISK MANAGEMENT RESOURCES FOR LICENSED PROVIDERS	
Recorded Trainings         • Risk Management & Quality Improvement Strategies Training by the Center for Developmental Disabilities Evaluation & Research – Recorded W         • QI-RM-RCA Webinar Recording December 2021 (February 2022)         Root Cause Analysis – 12VAC35-105-160.E.2         • Sample(s)         • Sample Root Cause Analysis Policy (February 2022)	ebinar (December 2020)
<ul> <li>Training(s)</li> <li>Flow-Chart Incident Reviews (April 2022) QI-RM-RCA Webinar (December 2021) Regulatory Compliance with Root Cause Analysis Regulations Training (December 2021) Risk Management &amp; Quality Improvement Strategies Training by the Center for Developmental Disabilities Evaluation and Research – Hand Root Cause Analysis Training (October 2020)</li> <li>FAOs</li> </ul>	<u>out (December 2020)</u>
<ul> <li><u>Root Cause Analysis Q&amp;A's (Updated July 2022)</u></li> </ul>	
Virginia Department of Behavioral Health & Developmental Services	Slide 20

The OL website includes training and technical assistance documents related to root cause analysis, risk management, care concerns, and quality improvement in addition to other resources.

Navigating the OL Website-Risk Management	
<ul> <li>Risk Management - 12VAC35-105-520</li> <li>Attestation</li> <li>Updated Crosswalk of DBHDS Approved Attestation Trainings (August 2022).</li> <li>Updated Risk Management Attestation Form (August 2022).</li> <li>Sample Provider Systemic Risk Assessment (February 2022).</li> <li>Sample Provider Risk Management Plan (June 2021).</li> <li>Training(s)</li> <li>Flow-Chart Incident Reviews (April 2022).</li> <li>QL:RM-RCA Webinar (December 2021)</li> <li>Regulatory Compliance with Risk Management Regulations Training (December 2021).</li> <li>Risk Management Tips and Tools Training (June 2021).</li> <li>Risk Management Taining (November 2020).</li> <li>Risk Management Training (November 2020).</li> <li>Risk Management Training (November 2020).</li> </ul>	<u>(December</u>
Virginia Department of Behavioral Health & Developmental Services	Slide 21

The Minimizing Risk training will provide additional information related to Risk Management. As you can see, the most recent trainings are posted and technical assistance documents are also located on our website.

Navigating the OL Webs	ite-Care Concerns		
<ul> <li>Care Concerns</li> <li>2023 Care Concern Threshold Criteria Memo (February 2023)</li> <li>IMU Care Concern PowerPoint Training (February 2023)</li> <li>Risk Triggers and Threshold Handout (February 2023)</li> <li>FAQ</li> <li>Risk Management Q&amp;A's (Updated July 2022)</li> </ul>			27
Virginia Department of Behavioral Health & Developmental Services		Slide 22	22

The OL also posts trainings and technical assistance documents related to Care Concerns. The Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services (DBHDS) defines risk triggers and thresholds as care concerns through review of serious incident reporting conducted by the Incident Management Unit.

Navigating the OL Website-Quality Improvement	
Monitoring and Evaluating Service Quality – Quality Improvement – 12VAC35-105-620   • Memo(s)  • Tracking of Level I Serious Incidents vs Baseline Behaviors Memo (February 2023)	
Sample(s) <u>Tools for Developing a Quality Improvement Program (February 2022)</u> <u>Sample Provider Quality Improvement Plan (June 2021)</u> Training(s)	
<ul> <li><u>QI-RM-RCA Webinar (December 2021)</u></li> <li><u>Regulatory Compliance with Quality Improvement Regulations Training (December 2021)</u></li> <li><u>Quality Improvement Tips and Tools Training (June 2021)</u></li> <li><u>Risk Management &amp; Quality Improvement Strategies Training by the Center for Developmental Disabilities Evaluation &amp; Research – Recorded Webinar</u></li> </ul>	a <u>r (December</u>
2020) • Quality Improvement Training (November 2020) • FAQs	
Quality Improvement Q&A's (Updated June 2022)      Virginia Department of     Behavioral Health &     Developmental Services	Slide 23

As shown here, there are also trainings and technical assistance documents related to quality improvement.

# <section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>

Additionally, you can locate resources related to serious incident reporting and CHRIS training. Please familiarize yourself with the OL website, we cannot stress this enough.

Now Larisa Terwilliger, the OL Training Coordinator, is going to talk briefly about the rules and regulations for licensed providers.



Good morning everyone,

Now let's talk a little bit about the regulations

### Regulations

- Rules and Regulations For Licensing Providers by the Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services [12 VAC 35 105]
- <u>Regulations for Children's Residential Facilities 12VAC35-46</u>
- Emergency/NOIRA:12VAC35-46. Regulations for Children's Residential Facilities (adding 12VAC35-46-1260, 12VAC35-46-1270) Effective January 10, 2022 January 8, 2024

## DBHDS Rules and Regulations

• This training is specific to the <u>Rules and Regulations</u> For Licensing Providers by the Department of <u>Behavioral Health and Developmental Services [12</u> <u>VAC 35 - 105]</u>. However, the tools and resources provided today can be adapted and used for providers that provide Children's Residential Services under <u>Regulations for Children's Residential Facilities</u> <u>12VAC35-46</u>.

26

The DBHDS regulations can be located on the OL website. The Managing Risk Training is specific to licensed providers that are required to comply with Chapter 105, Rules and Regulations for Licensing Providers by the Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services; however, the tools and resources provided during these training sessions can be adapted and used for providers licensed to provide services under Chapter 46, Children's Residential Facilities.

Providers should always read the regulations closely and have an understanding of what they mean. Providers should ensure that their policies and procedures align with the regulations. If you have a question about a regulation, please reach out to your assigned licensing specialist.

Providers should avoid copying and pasting regulations directly into their policies and procedures.

Policies and procedures should be tailored to your organization.

If a consultant writes your policies and procedures, your agency will still be required to understand and implement these regulations and be able to answer questions posed by your licensing specialist.



- 12VAC35-105-160. Reviews by the department; requests for information; required reporting.
- C. The provider shall collect, maintain, and review at least quarterly all serious incidents, including Level I serious incidents, as part of the quality improvement program in accordance with 12VAC35-105-620 to include an analysis of trends, potential systemic issues or causes, indicated remediation, and documentation of steps taken to mitigate the potential for future incidents.

This training series will provide detailed information related to regulation 160.C which states that the provider shall collect, maintain, and review, at least quarterly, all serious incidents, including Level I serious incidents, as part of the quality improvement program in accordance with regulation 620 to include an analysis of trends, potential systemic issues or causes, indicated remediation, and documentation of steps taken to mitigate the potential for future incidents.

27



- 12VAC35-105-520. Risk management.
- C. The provider shall conduct systemic risk assessment reviews at least annually to identify and respond to practices, situations, and policies that could result in the risk of harm to individuals receiving services. The risk assessment review shall address at least the following:
  - 1. The environment of care;
  - 2. Clinical assessment or reassessment processes;
  - 3. Staff competence and adequacy of staffing;
  - 4. Use of high risk procedures, including seclusion and restraint; and
  - 5. A review of serious incidents.
- D. The systemic risk assessment process shall incorporate uniform risk triggers and thresholds as defined by the department.

28

- Additionally, we will be reviewing regulation 520.C.1 through 5 which states that the provider shall conduct systemic risk assessment reviews at least annually to identify and respond to practices, situations, and policies that could result in the risk of harm to individuals receiving services. The risk assessment review shall address at least the following:
  - 1. The environment of care;
  - 2. Clinical assessment or reassessment processes;
  - 3. Staff competence and adequacy of staffing;
  - 4. Use of high risk procedures, including seclusion and restraint;
  - 5. A review of serious incidents.

AND lastly

• The systemic risk assessment process shall incorporate uniform risk triggers and thresholds as defined by the department.



So, what are your responsibilities as a provider?

- Providers should be able to locate the most current DBHDS regulations and guidance documents
- Providers should be able to understand and interpret regulations
- Providers should have written policies and procedures that align with the regulations.
- Providers should have updated applicable policies, procedures and forms when regulations change
- Providers should have systems in place to minimize risk
- Providers should be able to submit a Corrective Action Plan to DBHDS for approval when determined to be non-compliant with a regulation or regulations.



Virginia Department of Behavioral Health & Developmental Services

## Understanding DBHDS Risk Management Regulations 12VAC35-105-520.A 12VAC35-105-520.B Having Someone Responsible for Risk Management Plan

Larisa Terwilliger Training Coordinator

Before we dive into the systemic risk assessment and care concerns, it is important to understand risk management and the responsibilities of the person responsible for the risk management function.



- Let's face it, Risk Management is a regulatory requirement
- As you probably know, providers are assessed for their compliance with risk management regulations during inspections and may also be assessed in this area during an investigation
- And, as it relates to the DOJ, an overall compliance goal for regulations 160 and 520 is 86% across all licensed providers – we are not there yet, but we *are* making moves in the right direction!

## <section-header><section-header><image><image><image>

So let's get started!

## Definition

## 12VAC35-105-20:

 Risk management means an integrated system-wide program to ensure the safety of individuals, employees, visitors, and others through identification, mitigation, early detection, monitoring, evaluation, and control of risks.

define /dr'fam/ v.tr. 1 give the exact meaning of (a one's position). 3 make clear, esp. in outline (see defined image). 4 mark out the boundary or limits of. 5 (of properties) make up the total character of D definable adj. definer n. [ME f. OF definer uh f. L definite /'definit/ adj. 1 having exact and discernible definite /'definit/ adj. 1 having exact and discernible

It is important to know that the DBHDS regulations include definitions.

One important definition is that of Risk Management, which is an integrated systemwide program to ensure the safety of individuals, employees, visitors, and others through identification, mitigation, early detection, monitoring, evaluation, and control of risks.



## A. The provider shall designate a person responsible for the risk management function who has completed department approved training, which shall include training related to risk management, understanding of individual risk screening, conducting investigations, root cause analysis, and the use of data to identify risk patterns and trends.

## To Be Compliant:

- The provider must designate a person responsible for the risk management function,
- This person's job description must specify the role for risk management, and
- The provider must maintain the completed DBHDS Risk Management Attestation (signed and dated by the person responsible for risk management and the person's supervisor) as evidence of completed training. Resumes are not a substitute for the employee's job description.
- Regulation 520.A states that the provider shall designate a person responsible for the risk management function who has completed department approved training, which shall include training related to risk management, understanding of individual risk screening, conducting investigations, root cause analysis, and the use of data to identify risk patterns and trends.
- Keep in mind that the number of risk managers depends on size of the organization
- The person responsible for the Risk Management function may have other duties, but if they are the designated risk manager, then their job description must also include risk management responsibilities
- The risk manager must complete the DBHDS approved trainings that are listed on the current crosswalk of Approved Risk Management Training
- The provider must keep a copy of the risk manager's attestation form on site; it does not need to be submitted directly to OL unless requested.
- Annual retraining is not required; therefore the attestation form does not need to be completed annually.
- However, a new attestation form must be completed when there is a change in the designated risk manager as the new risk manager would be required to complete the approved risk management training.
- As previously stated, the regulatory requirement states that the provider must have "a person" designated by the provider to be responsible for the risk management function. Please note, this person may oversee other persons who carry out risk management activities, but it is the designated person who is ultimately responsible for this function. Only the designated person is required by the regulation to complete the required training.

	Training Topic Area	Crosswalk of DBHDS Approved Training and Hyperlink Access
	Risk Management	Risk Management and Quality Improvement Strategies Webinar by CDDER http://www.dbhds.virginia.gov/assets/doc/QMD/OL/va.dbhds-risk- management-webinar-final-12-10-2020-handout-with-notes-(1).pdf     Office of Licensing PPT Training on Quality Improvement – Risk Management (Nov 2020) http://www.dbhds.virginia.gov/assets/doc/QMD/OL/quality-improvement- risk-management-training-(november-2020).pdf     Office of Licensing Quality Improvement – Risk Management Tips and Tools (June 2021) https://dbhds.virginia.gov/assets/doc/QMD/OL/risk- management-quality-improvement-tips-and-tools-june-2021.pdf
UPDATED Crosswalk of	Understanding of Individual Risk Screening	<ol> <li>Risk Management and Quality Improvement Strategies Webinar by CDDER <u>http://www.dbhds.virginia.gov/assets/doc/QMD/OL/va-dbhds- risk-management-webinar-final-12-10-2020-handout-with- notes-(1).pdf</u></li> <li>Office of Licensing PPT Training on Quality Improvement – Risk Management (Nov 2020) <u>http://www.dbhds.virginia.gov/assets/doc/QMD/OL/quality-improvement-risk-management-training-(november-2020).pdf</u></li> </ol>
DBHDS Approved Trainings Effective August 2022	Conducting Investigations	<ol> <li>OHR Investigating Abuse &amp; Neglect: An Overview for Community Providers https://dbhds.virginia.gov/assets/doc/QMD/human- rights/ohr-2021-statewide-training-calendar_current1.docx https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4wB4dx-olyk</li> </ol>
	Root Cause Analysis	<ol> <li>Risk Management and Quality Improvement Strategies Webinar by CDDER http://www.dbhds.virginia.gov/assets/doc/QMD/OL/va-dbhds- risk-management-webinar-final-12-10-2020-handout-with-notes-(1).pdf</li> <li>Office of Licensing PPT Training on Root Cause Analysis (Nov 2020) http://www.dbhds.virginia.gov/assets/doc/QMD/OL/root-cause-analysis- training-(november-2020).pdf</li> </ol>
	Use of Data to Identify Risk Patterns and Trends	<ol> <li>Risk Management and Quality Improvement Strategies Webinar by CDDER http://www.dbhds.virginia.gov/assets/doc/QMD/OL/va-dbhds-risk- management-webinar-final-12-10-2020-handout-with-notes-(1).pdf</li> <li>Office of Licensing PPT Training on Quality Improvement – Risk Management (Nov 2020) http://www.dbhds.virginia.gov/assets/doc/QMD/OL/quality-improvement- risk-management-training-(november-2020).pdf</li> </ol>
Virginia Department of Behavioral Health & Developmental Services		Slide 35

In August of 2022, the Crosswalk of DBHDS Approved Trainings was updated so that it was easier for the provider and Risk Manager to determine which trainings were required.

- As you can see here, the first column in the Crosswalk outlines the five training topic areas which include Risk Management, Understanding of Individual Risk Screening, Conducting Investigations, Root Cause Analysis and Use of Data to Identify Risk Patterns and Trends.
- The second column provides the DBHDS approved trainings for each of the topic areas, and the associated hyperlinks allow access to each training. The risk manager only has to complete one training per topic area, but may chose to complete the others.
- Upon completion of a DBHDS approved training for each topic area, the designated Risk Manager should complete the corresponding Risk Management Attestation Form.

	Topic Area	Name of DBHDS Approved Training Completed	Training Completion Date
		*** Note: Check the associated DBHDS approved training(s) completed by the designed Risk Manager	
	Risk Management	Risk Management and Quality Improvement Strategies Webinar by CDDER http://www.dbhds.virginia.gov/assets/doc_QMD/OL/va-dbhds-risk-management- webinar-final-12-10-2020-handout-with: note-(1).pdf Or	Click or tap to enter a date.
		Office of Licensing PPT Training on Quality Improvement – Risk Management (Nov 2020) http://www.dbhds.virginia.gov/assets/doc/QMD/OL/quality- improvement-tisk-managment-training-(november-2020).pdf	
		Or Or Office of Licensing Quality Improvement ~ Risk Management Tips and Tools (June 2021) <u>https://dbds.virginia.gov/assets/doc/QMD/OL/risk- management-quality-improvement-tips-and-tools-june-2021 pdf</u>	
UPDATED ATTESTATION	Understanding of Individual Risk Screening	Risk Management and Quality Improvement Strategies Webinar by CDDER <u>http://www.dbbds.virginia.gov/asset/doc/QMD/OL/va.dbbda-risk-management-webinar-final-12-10-2020-handout-with-netes-(1).pdf</u> Or	Click or tap to enter a date.
FORM –		Office of Licensing PPT Training on Quality Improvement – Risk Management (Nov 2020) http://www.dbhds.virginia.gov/assets/doc/QMD-OL/quality- improvement-risk-management-training-(november-2020).pdf	
<b>EFFECTIVE AUGUST 2022</b>	Conducting Investigations	OHR Investigating Abuse & Neglect: An Overview for Community Providers https://dbids.virginia.gov/assets/doc/QMD/human-rights/ohr- 2021-statewide-training-calendar_current1 docx	Click or tap to enter a date.
	Root Cause Analysis	https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4wB4dx-olyk Risk Management and Quality Improvement Strategies Webinar by CDDER http://www.dbds.virgina.gov/asstc/dse/CDM/O.JL/x-dbbds-tisk-management- webinar-final-12-10-2020-handout-with-notes-(1).pdf	Click or tap to enter a date.
		Or Or Office of Licensing PPT Training on Root Cause Analysis (Nov 2020) http://www.dbhda.virgning.gov/ausetu/doc/QMD/OL/root_cause_analysis-training_ (november/2020).pdf	
	Use of Data to Identify Risk Patterns and Trends	Risk Management and Quality Improvement Strategies Webinar by CDDER http://www.dbdds.virginia.gov/assets/doe:QMD/OL/va-dbdds-trisk-management- webinar-final-12-10-2020-handout-with.notes-(1).pdf Or	Click or tap to enter a date.
		Office of Licensing PPT Training on Quality Improvement - Risk Management (Nov 2020) http://www.dbhds.virginia.gov/assets/doc/QMD/OL/quality- improvement-risk-management-training-fnovember-2020) pdf	
Virginia Department of Behavioral Health & Developmental Services			Slide

- Now let's take a look at the attestation form
- Beginning January 1, 2023, whenever a new Risk Manager is hired, providers are required to use the Attestation form located on the DBHDS website
- For <u>ALL</u> topic areas listed in the chart, the Risk Manager must select the name of the completed DBHDS approved training and document the date of completion for each. Again, additional information related to the DBHDS approved trainings and the requirements of regulation 520.A. can be found within the "*Crosswalk of DBHDS Approved Risk Management Training*" which we reviewed on the previous slide.
- To be determined as Compliant, the provider should select at least one approved training in each of the five topic areas; complete the training, check the box, and enter the training completion date it's that simple!
- Remember, the attestation form does not need to be submitted directly to the Office of Licensing upon completion; however, the form must be kept on file and presented upon request to the Office of Licensing
|   | ted Attestation Forn  |  |
|---|---|--|
| This certificate is to be read, s<br>provider, as well as, that pers    | gned, and dated by the person designated as respon<br>n's direct supervisor.                                    | sible for the risk management function for the |
|   | ment Attestation Form, I am indicating that I have par<br>nt presentations posted on the DBHDS Office of Licens |  |
| TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWI   | EDGE AND BELIEF, ALL INFORMATION CONTAIN  | VED HEREIN IS CORRECT AND COMPLETE.            |
| Name of Designated Risk Ma  | ager Signature of Risk Manager  | Date   |
| Supervisor  | Signature of Supervisor   | Date   |
| □ The designated Risk Manage  | r does not have a direct supervisor.  |  |
| Virginia Department of<br>Behavioral Health &<br>Developmental Services |   | Slide 37                                       |

- Lastly, the person responsible for risk management shall attest to the completion of required training for each topic area by signing and dating this DBHDS Risk Management Attestation Form.
- The attestation shall also be signed and dated by the person's direct supervisor, if applicable.
- On the updated attestation form, if the designated Risk Manager does not have an immediate supervisor, this can be indicated on the form by checking the box. For example, for a very small organization, the Risk Manager may also be the owner.



Don't forget, you can access the current form from the DBHDS website.

Mary Beth, please click the Office of Licensing Link shown here. Please scroll down to the crosswalk and attestation section to show our participants where it is located.



Remember that the job description for the person responsible for the risk management function must include language pertaining to risk management such as "will perform the role of risk manager", "responsible for oversight of the agency's risk management plan", "is responsible for completing the systemic risk assessment at least annually", and other appropriate duties.

As you see here, this job description includes "Risk Management" language and the duties of someone responsible for the risk management function.

The person responsible for the Risk Management function may have other duties, but if they are the designated risk manager then their job description must also include risk management responsibilities.



- B. The provider shall implement a written plan to identify, monitor, reduce, and minimize harms and risk of harm, including personal injury, infectious disease, property damage or loss, and other sources of potential liability.
- A provider's Risk Management Plan may be a standalone risk management plan or it may be integrated into the provider's overall Quality Improvement Plan.
- Systemic Risk Assessment (SRA) is a component of the providers risk management plan; it is a tool for proactively identifying systemic risks and should inform the RM plan.
- The SRA should be reviewed and updated at least annually, or any time that the provider identifies a need to review and update the plan based on ongoing quality review and risk management activities, such as during its quarterly reviews of all serious incidents.
- Let's take a few minutes to talk about the Risk Management Plan
- Regulation 520.B states, that the provider shall **implement a written plan** to identify, monitor, reduce, and minimize harms and risk of harm, including personal injury, infectious disease, property damage or loss, and other sources of potential liability.
- Risk Management plans are based on assessed risks, potential risks, and includes strategies and efforts needed to mitigate those risks
- Each provider's risks will vary depending on size, populations served, services offered, and unique risks associated with their business model.
- A Risk Management plan can apply to just one of the provider's services or to the entire organization
- A provider's Risk Management Plan may be a standalone risk management plan or it may be integrated into the provider's overall Quality Improvement Plan.
- Systemic Risk Assessment is a *component* of the providers Risk Management plan; it is a tool for proactively identifying systemic risks and should *inform* the Risk Management plan.
- The Systemic Risk Assessment must be reviewed and updated at least annually, or any time that the provider identifies a need to review and update the plan based on ongoing quality review and risk management activities, such as during its quarterly reviews of all serious incidents.

# RM Plan vs QI Plan

Risk Management (RM) Plan (520.B) Providers must have a written risk management plan focused on identifying, monitoring, reducing, and minimizing harms and risk of harm through a continuous, comprehensive approach. This plan should include identifying year-overtrends and patterns and the use of baseline data to assess the effectiveness of risk management systems.

The written risk management plan should be reviewed and updated at least annually, or any time that the provider identifies a need to review and update the plan based on ongoing quality review and risk management activities, such as during its quarterly reviews of all serious incidents. Quality Improvement (QI) Plan (620.C.1-5) A written work plan developed by a provider that defines steps the provider will take to review the quality of services it provides and to manage initiatives to improve quality. A quality improvement plan consists of systematic and continuous actions that lead to measurable improvement in the services, supports, and health status of the individuals' receiving services.

There is no specific template required for creating a quality improvement plan; however, staff responsible for implementation of the quality improvement plan must review and update the plan at least annually (every 365 days).

A provider must have a:

- Risk Management (RM) Plan and a Quality Improvement (QI) Plan.
- A provider's risk management plan may be a standalone risk management plan, or it may be integrated into the provider's overall quality improvement plan. Risk management plans and overall risk management programs should reflect the size of the organization, the population served, and any unique risks associated with the provider's business model.

Now let's look at the differences between a Risk Mangement Plan and a Quality Improvement Plan.

Providers must have a written Risk Management plan focused on identifying, monitoring, reducing, and minimizing harms and risk of harm through a continuous, comprehensive approach. This plan should identify year-over-year trends and patterns and use baseline data to assess the effectiveness of risk management systems.

Regarding the Quality Improvement Plan, the provider must have a written work plan developed that defines steps the provider will take to review the quality of services it provides and to manage initiatives to improve quality. A quality improvement plan consists of systematic and continuous actions that lead to measurable improvement in the services, supports, and health status of the individuals' receiving services.

There is no specific template required for creating a quality improvement plan; however, staff responsible for implementation of the quality improvement plan must review and update the plan at least annually.

The bottom line is that a provider must have:

- A Risk Management (RM) Plan and a Quality Improvement (QI) Plan.
- The provider's risk management plan may be a standalone risk management plan, or it may be integrated into the provider's overall quality improvement plan.
   Risk management plans should reflect the size of the organization, the population served, and any unique risks associated with the provider's business model.

### Compliant Risk Management Plans

Include:

- ✓ How the provider would identify risks;
- ✓ How the provider would monitor risks; and
- ✓ How the provider would reduce and minimize risks.
   That identify risks associated with:
- ✓ Personal injury (incident reporting; employee injuries)
- ✓ Infectious diseases (hand hygiene, COVID protocols)
- ✓ Property damage/loss (financial risks, weather related property damage)

For Risk Management Plans that are integrated with an overall Quality Improvement Plan (620.C 1-5) the provider is expected to identify the sections that address the Risk Management requirements of regulation 520.B. The combined plan would need to be dated since Quality Improvement Plan is required to be updated at least annually.

Risk Management Plans should include:

- How the provider would identify risks;
- How the provider would monitor risks; and
- How the provider would reduce and minimize risks.

It should also identify risks associated with:

- Personal injury (incident reporting; employee injuries)
- Infectious diseases (hand hygiene, COVID protocols)
- Property damage/loss (financial risks, weather related property damage)
- And other sources of potential liability.
- Risks can be identified in several ways, such as using the systemic risk assessment, safety inspections, serious incident reporting, infectious disease reporting, financial reports, documented medication errors, instances of property damage/loss, emergency preparedness responses, and personal injury sustained on provider's premises.
- A provider can monitor risks through their review of serious incidents, the committee or leadership review of trends, care concerns, and other ways.
- A provider can reduce and minimize risk by conducting a root cause analysis, proposing an initiative to minimize risk related to findings from the systemic risk assessment, and even implementing new training.

• For Risk Management Plans that are integrated with an overall Quality Improvement Plan, the provider is expected to identify the sections that address the Risk Management requirements. The combined plan would need to be dated since the Quality Improvement Plan is required to be updated at least annually.



- An annual risk assessment review is a necessary component of a provider's risk management plan.
- A risk assessment...
  - is a careful examination of what the provider identifies as internal and external factors or situations that could cause harm to individuals served or that could negatively impact the organization.
  - should lead to a better understanding of actual or potential risks and how best to minimize those risks.
  - varies depending on numerous factors such as an organization's size, population served, location, or business model.
- This review should include consideration of harms and risks identified and lessons learned from the provider's quarterly reviews of all serious incidents conducted pursuant to regulation 160.C., including an analysis of trends, potential systemic issues or causes, indicated remediation, and documentation of steps taken to mitigate the potential for future incidents.

# Now you're going to hear from my colleague Mary Beth Cox in the Office of Clinical Quality Management.

She's going to discuss our first new tool – the Flow Chart for Incident Reviews.



Virginia Department of Behavioral Health & Developmental Services

### On Licensing Website:



Office of Clinical Quality Improvement



Thank you, Larisa! And hello everybody.

Our partners from the Office of Licensing just reviewed important regulations and tools and resources.

One question we have heard time and again is, how do all the pieces fit together? In response to that, OCQM and OL partnered last year to create this flow chart. **This can be found on the Office of Licensing website at the link given on the slide.** 

The description is "Flow-Chart Incident Reviews (April 2023)".

It is an At a Glance Flow Chart for Incident Reviews.



Let's walk through it step by step.

First, notice there is a Symbol Bank that tells you what each symbol means. For examples, ovals indicate the start and end points. Curved rectangles are process steps. Diamonds are decisions. Solid arrows indicate the flow of activities, and dotted lines indicate the flow of data.

The 'start' is at the Individual Event level.

Next, notice the arrows and how they lead you to the next step(s).

Also notice throughout, the relevant licensing measures are referenced. [next slide]



We're going to use this like a map during this training and make stops along the way to help us with 520C and D, and 160C and more.

An important thing to understand about 520C and D is, it is an annual review, but it should include and build on what your organization has been tracking and reviewing quarterly and throughout the year. That is why 520C and D are related to 160C and a number of other regulations as well.

{Explain the map}

Let's start at the Individual Event level. First, the provider becomes aware of the incident. The provider needs to record information – which is data – about the incident include the type of incident, the reason, and demographics of the individual. If it is a Level I incident, the provider needs to document this incident for internal tracking; which is related to regulation 160C. If it is a Level II or III incident, the provider needs to report it in the CHRIS system within 24 hours; this is related to regulation 160D2. Note the dotted circle around these items; this indicates data collection.

If this is a Level II or III incident, regulations require that the provider conduct a Root Cause Analysis (or RCA) within 30 days; this is per regulation 160E. There are some helpful questions in this diagram to ask as well. In addition, the provider should determine if a more detailed Root Cause Analysis is needed, which is per regulation 160E2. Providers need to take action to address root causes; this is per regulation 160E.

Now let's look at the quarterly Review. It begins with compiling the data on serious incidents and care concerns that you've been collecting from individual events. Follow the doted lines, from the information about the incident and documenting information about Level I and Level II/III incidents. Note, to include the individual care concern LSA notification report from CHRIS. At a minimum, you need to list each type of incident and count how many of each one happened. This includes Level I incidents. Next, you need to conduct a quarterly review of all these incidents; this is per regulation 160C. You need to ask questions such as, what incidents occurred most often? Is there a pattern? In the next boxes, you need to also review care concerns, and then take action to address root causes. Note the solid gray arrow that indicates this is a quarterly process, to makes to set a schedule and assure it is done quarterly.

The last row is for the annual systemic risk review, the requirements of which are described in regulations 520C and D. Begin by compiling the quarterly data of serious incidents and care concerns from the year. Next, review the trends of serious incidents and care concerns, asking questions like – what types of incidents happened most often? Which could have resulted in the most harm? Were there common root causes? What patterns did you see?

Next, the diamond shape indicates that you need to decide if you need to take any action to address the identified risks – such as updating policies and procedures or incorporating information into your risk management or quality improvement plan, and take those actions.

This cycle of reviewing incidents needs to continue on an ongoing basis.



For the rest of today, we're going to focus on the Annual Systemic Risk

Assessment. (Gold star)

On Day 2, we're going to walk through from the beginning of the map, to document, track and review serious incidents. (Pink stars)

Before I turn it back over to Mackenzie to review the Systemic Risk Assessment, let's do a few poll questions!



The correct answer is Medication Administration.

While medication administration is an important risk area to monitor and review, it is not a required component of the systemic risk assessment.



The correct answer is, you have to do the systemic risk assessment at least annually. You can do it more often if you want to.



I know that you want to know more about the regulations related to the systemic risk assessment.

Let's go ahead and take a closer look at those risk areas.

12VAC35-105- 520.C.1-5- Systemic Risk Assessment	<ul> <li>C. The provider shall conduct systemic risk assessment reviews at least annually to identify and respond to practices, situations, and policies that could result in the risk of harm to individuals receiving services. The risk assessment review shall address at least the following: <ul> <li>1. The environment of care;</li> <li>2. Clinical assessment or reassessment processes;</li> <li>3. Staff competence and adequacy of staffing;</li> <li>4. Use of high-risk procedures, including seclusion and restraint; and</li> <li>5. A review of serious incidents.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Identifying risks/potential risks helps to prevent harm to the individuals served, to staff, and to the organization.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>There are many risks that may affect an organization such as:</li> <li>security breaches</li> <li>business risks</li> <li>financial risks</li> <li>workforce related risks</li> <li>liability risks</li> </ul>

- As previously mentioned, the systemic risk assessment review is required to be conducted at least annually
- This review shall address at least the following areas: The environment of care; clinical assessment or reassessment processes; staff competence AND adequacy of staffing; Use of high-risk procedures, including seclusion and restraint; and include a review of serious incidents.
- Keep in mind that identifying risks and potential risks helps to prevent harm to the individuals served, to staff, and to the organization.
- There are many risks that may affect an organization and a provider's risks could change from year to year.
- Don't forget, even if a provider has not yet served any individuals, the provider is still able to identify potential risks
- Now we will break down each of the required components starting with the environment of care.



#### 1. The environment of care

The "environment of care" means the physical environment where services are provided, such as the building and physical premises. A review of the environment of care should consider the results of the annual safety inspection conducted pursuant to 12VAC35-105-520.E, when applicable, but is broader than a safety inspection.

#### **Examples:**

- Any site where individuals are served
- How the area where services are provided is arranged
- Any special protective features that may be present
- Location, amount and condition of safety equipment, including
  - Fire extinguishers
  - First Aid kits
  - Flashlights
  - And much more...

The "environment of care" means the physical environment where services are provided, such as the building and physical premises. A review of the environment of care should consider the results of the annual safety inspection conducted pursuant to 520.E, when applicable, but is broader than a safety inspection.

#### **Examples:**

- Any site where individuals are served
- How the area where services are provided is arranged
- Any special protective features that may be present
- Location, amount and condition of safety equipment, including
  - Fire extinguishers
  - First Aid kits
  - Flashlights
  - And much more...
- The objective is to provide a safe, functional and effective environment for individuals served, staff members and others.
- Results of safety inspections should be incorporated into the systemic risk assessment.
- Every organization will have different risks associated with its environment of care.
- Each provider needs to think about its environment of care and the potential risks.
- An examination of what internal and external factors or situations could cause harm to the individuals served or that could negatively impact the organization.



#### 2. Clinical assessment or reassessment processes

- Examples of assessments include physical exams that are completed prior to admission or any time that there is a change in the individual's physical or mental condition.
- Reassessments include: (i) reviews of incidents in which the individual was involved, and (ii) reviews of the individual's health risks.
- Persons designated as responsible for the risk management function need not be engaged in the clinical assessment or reassessment process but should review these processes during the risk assessment review process. For example, are assessment processes effectively identifying and mitigating risks unique to each individual?

**Clinical Assessment and Reassessment processes** 

- Examples of assessments include physical exams that are completed prior to admission or any time that there is a change in the individual's physical or mental condition.
- Reassessments include: reviews of incidents in which the individual was involved, and reviews of the individual's health risks.
- Persons designated as responsible for the risk management function need not be engaged in the clinical assessment or reassessment process but should review these processes during the risk assessment review. For example, are assessment processes effectively identifying and mitigating risks unique to each individual?
- Other examples: "Admission assessments include risk of harm to self or others"; "Physical exams for individuals are completed annually"; "Assessments and reassessments include a falls risk assessment"; "Reassessments include a review of incidents in which the individual was involved"

### 12VAC35-105-520.C.3 -Staff Competency and Adequacy of Staffing

3. Staff competence and adequacy of staffing

- Risks vary according to the licensed provider:
- Examples of factors related to staff competency and adequacy of staffing include whether:
- All employees meet minimum qualifications to perform their duties;
- All employees complete orientation training prior to being assigned to perform direct care work;
- All employees have undergone background checks;
- All employees have completed abuse and neglect training;
- All employees have up to date CPR certification;
- Employees who administer medications have received required training;
- Employees have completed additional training applicable to their job functions, such as initial and annual fire safety training;
- Staffing schedules are consistent with the provider's staffing plan; and
- The staffing plan continues to be adequate to meet the needs of the individuals being served. Reviews of serious incidents over the prior year may help to inform this consideration.

### Staff competence and adequacy of staffing

- Risks vary according to the licensed provider:
- Examples of factors related to staff competency and adequacy of staffing include whether:
- All employees meet minimum qualifications to perform their duties;
- All employees complete orientation training prior to being assigned to perform direct care work;
- All employees have undergone background checks;
- · All employees have completed abuse and neglect training;
- All employees have up to date CPR certification;
- · Employees who administer medications have received required training;
- Employees have completed additional training applicable to their job functions, such as initial and annual fire safety training;
- · Staffing schedules are consistent with the provider's staffing plan; and
- The staffing plan continues to be adequate to meet the needs of the individuals being served. Reviews of serious incidents over the prior year may help to inform this consideration.
- It has been noted that adequacy of staffing is not consistently included in the systemic risk assessment review. As a reminder, 520.C.3 must address staff competency AND adequacy of staffing.

### 12VAC35-105-520.C.4 -Use of High-Risk Procedures

4. Use of high risk procedures, including seclusion and restraint

- High risk procedures may involve questions such as:
- Is the use of seclusion and restraint, in compliance with Human Rights Regulations?
- Are high-risk procedures reviewed regularly?
- Are the staff trained to implement high risk procedures?
- Are high risk procedures properly authorized and reviewed per policy, regulation, and law?



### Use of high risk procedures, including seclusion and restraint

- High risk procedures may involve questions such as:
- Is the use of seclusion and restraint in compliance with Human Rights Regulations?
- Are high-risk procedures reviewed regularly?
- Are the staff trained to implement high risk procedures?
- Are high risk procedures properly authorized and reviewed per policy, regulation, and law?
- Other Examples include: "All staff are trained on how to safely transfer individuals"; "All staff will refrain from the use of seclusion and restraints"; "All staff are trained on how to use CPI techniques".



#### 5. A review of serious incidents.

- The provider's systemic risk review shall evaluate serious incidents at least annually. Examples of considerations related to serious incidents include whether:
  - Are all serious incidents (Level I, Level II, and Level III) reviewed at least quarterly?
  - What trends are identified?
  - What kinds of incidents are reported? Are they related in terms of the type of incident?
  - Were there similar incidents that appeared close together in time? Was there anything unique that took place at that time?
  - Any patterns (time of day, day of week, location, program, certain types of activities, presence of other people/visitors)?
  - Reflect on what has been learned from Root Cause Analyses

### A review of serious incidents.

- The provider's systemic risk review shall evaluate serious incidents at least annually.
- Examples of considerations related to serious incidents include whether:
  - All serious incidents (Level I, Level II, and Level III) are reviewed at least quarterly.
  - What trends are identified?
  - What kinds of incidents are reported? Are they related in terms of the type of incident?
  - Were there similar incidents that appeared close together in time? Was there anything unique that took place at that time?
  - Are there any patterns relevant to the specific time of day, day of week, location, program, certain types of activities, presence of other people or visitors?
  - Reflect on what has been learned from Root Cause Analyses
- Additional Examples include: "All serious incidents are reported to the AR within 24-hours of discovery"; "Medication errors are reviewed quarterly"; "Staff injuries will be reviewed quarterly".

## 12VAC35-105-520.C.5 - Review of Serious Incidents

## Continued

- Does the provider have an updated policy that defines who has the authority and responsibility to act when a serious incident or a pattern of serious incidents indicates that an individual is at risk?
- The provider must have evidence that they completed an analysis of trends from their quarterly review of serious incidents, identified potential systemic issues or causes, indicated remediation and planned/implemented steps taken to mitigate the potential for future incidents. This includes identifying year-over-year trends and patterns and the use of baseline data to assess the effectiveness of risk management systems.
- Important to note: This is an area of focus for the independent reviewer and his consultants as it relates to the DOJ Settlement Agreement.

**Review of Serious Incidents Continued** 

- Does the provider have an updated policy that defines who has the authority and responsibility to act when a serious incident or a pattern of serious incidents indicates that an individual is at risk?
- The provider must also have evidence that they completed an analysis of trends from their quarterly review of serious incidents, identified potential systemic issues or causes, indicated remediation and planned/implemented steps taken to mitigate the potential for future incidents. This includes identifying year-over-year trends and patterns and the use of baseline data to assess the effectiveness of risk management systems.
- It's important to note that this is an area of focus for the independent reviewer and his consultants as it relates to the DOJ Settlement Agreement. Lucky for you, Mary Beth will be introducing a risk tracking tool during the next training session.

•	assessment process shall incorporate and thresholds as defined by the
Services (DBHDS) c concerns through r	f Behavioral Health and Developmental lefines risk triggers and thresholds as <b>care</b> review of serious incident reporting ncident Management Unit.
12VAC35-105-520 Risk Management	Prompts to Determine Compliance
D. The systemic risk assessment review process shall incorporate uniform risk triggers and thresholds as defined by the department.	
	D - Did the provider include Care Concerns? If the provider did not have any Care Concerns for the
	year, the risk assessment should address what they would do to address if identified.

520.D. states that the systemic risk assessment process shall incorporate uniform risk triggers and thresholds as defined by the department.

- The Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services (DBHDS) defines risk triggers and thresholds as care concerns through review of serious incident reporting conducted by the Incident Management Unit.
- The provider's Systemic Risk Assessment (SRA) must also incorporate risk triggers and thresholds, which the department defines as care concerns
- The list of care concerns was updated in January 2023
- Providers should be monitoring the current list of care concerns.
- The Incident Management Unit identifies care concerns, which are available for providers to review in CHRIS
- Providers should be able to show the Office of Licensing how they monitored care concerns and how they use the data.
- If there are no care concerns identified, a provider must document how they would review and address care concerns if they arise.
- Care Concerns will be addressed in more detail by Michele Laird during the next session



- Multiple (2 or more) unplanned medical hospital admissions or ER visits for falls, urinary tract infection, aspiration pneumonia, dehydration, or seizures within a ninety (90) day time-frame for any reason.
- Any incidents of a decubitus ulcer diagnosed by a medical professional, an increase in the severity level of a previously diagnosed decubitus ulcer, or a diagnosis of a bowel obstruction diagnosed by a medical professional.
- Any choking incident that requires physical aid by another person, such as abdominal thrusts (Heimlich maneuver), back blows, clearing of airway, or CPR.
- Multiple (2 or more) unplanned psychiatric admissions within a ninety (90) day time-frame for any reason.

Effective January 2023 the current care concern thresholds are as follows:

- Multiple (2 or more) unplanned medical hospital admissions or ER visits for falls, urinary tract infection, aspiration pneumonia, dehydration, or seizures within a ninety (90) day time-frame for any reason.
- Any incident of a decubitus ulcer diagnosed by a medical professional, an increase in the severity level of a previously diagnosed decubitus ulcer, or a diagnosis of a bowel obstruction diagnosed by a medical professional.
- Any choking incident that requires physical aid by another person, such as abdominal thrusts, back blows, clearing of airway, or CPR.
- Multiple (2 or more) unplanned psychiatric admissions within a ninety (90) day time-frame for any reason.

# Risk Triggers and Thresholds (Care Concerns)



- The systemic risk assessment shall include a review of risk triggers and thresholds that were met and whether they were addressed.
- Example-On an annual basis a provider would ask the following:
- Did the provider review the care concerns and determine whether there was need for further action?
- If further action was needed, did it occur?
- If not, what were the barriers?
- If actions were implemented, did this mitigate further risks?

# 12VAC35-105-520.E-Safety Inspections

E. The provider shall conduct and document that a safety inspection has been performed at least annually of each service location owned, rented, or leased by the provider. Recommendations for safety improvement shall be documented and implemented by the provider.

• An annual safety inspection must be completed at least annually for ALL service locations.

Safety Inspection

- ✓ Fire extinguishers
- ✓ Tripping hazards
- ✓ Water temperatures
- ✓ Flashlights

Monthly	Quarterly	Annually

- The provider must document and implement recommendations for safety improvement from the safety inspection.
- A review of the environment of care (12VAC35-105-520.C.1) should consider the results of the annual safety inspections (12VAC35-105-520.E), when applicable, but is broader than a safety inspection.

Let's not forget about those safety inspections

- 520.E. states, that the provider shall conduct and document that a safety inspection has been performed at least annually of each service location owned, rented, or leased by the provider. Recommendations for safety improvement shall be documented and implemented by the provider.
- An annual safety inspection must be completed at least annually for ALL service locations.
- Safety inspections are not the same as the environment of care. A review of the environment of care should consider the results of the annual safety inspections (12VAC35-105-520.E), when applicable, but is broader than a safety inspection.
- Environment of care should include an analysis of risks associated with the provision of services, whether the risk is present in a residential setting, community-based setting, an individual's home, outpatient office, or in the community.
- Providers may not have direct control over identified risks, but an analysis will help the provider develop a plan to mitigate those risks.
- Remember Environment of care does not focus exclusively on "homes"

	FACILITY SAFETY CHECKLIST
	FACILITY NAME Date: Time:
Safety Inspection (520.E) VS Environment of Care Risk	YES NO         NA         ACCESS
Assessment (520.C.1)	EMERGENCY  A fire extinguisher is charged with gauge reading or indicator in the operable range and readily accessible.
	All exit signs (if applicable) and emergency lights are lit and have operating batteries in the event of an emergency.
	ELECTRICAL
<ul> <li>Safety inspections focus exclusively on the physical environment.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lighting fixtures are electric, operable and adequate for visibility in the interior parking area</li> <li>Heating and cooling systems are operational.</li> </ul>
physical environment.	HOUSEKEEPING.
<ul> <li>Safety inspections are performed at least annually at each service location.</li> </ul>	Interior and exterior of building are free from any accumulation of rubbish.     Building is free from insects (to include bedbugs) and vermin.      PLUMBING     Toilet facilities are available for all occupants, with operating locks for privacy.
<ul> <li>Safety inspections make recommendations for safety improvement.</li> </ul>	Hot water is available and will not reach a temperature capable of producing a scald. Temperature Range must be within 100°-110° Range. Current Temperature reading:
<ul> <li>Results of annual safety inspection should be included in the Systemic Risk Assessment.</li> </ul>	MEDICAL     All personal medical equipment and devices inspected to insure they are working properly.     All personal medical equipment and devices are sanitized appropriately.
	Inspector: Date:

Let's talk a little bit about the safety inspection and the environment of care....so what's the difference?

- The safety inspection focuses exclusively on the physical environment.
- It is performed annually at each service location. AND
- Makes recommendations for safety improvement.
- The results of the annual safety inspection should be included in the systemic risk assessment.
- Regulations 520.E and 520.C.1 are not the same.



Remember, a risk assessment is a careful examination of what the provider identifies as internal and external factors or situations that could cause harm to individuals served or that could negatively impact the organization. The risk assessment should lead to a better understanding of actual or potential risks and how best to minimize those risks. Systemic risk assessments vary depending on numerous factors such as an organization's size, population served, location, or business model. The risk assessment process is focused on identifying both existing and potential harms and risks of harm.

### • Where to begin:

First, determine a format and then determine who will conduct the risk assessment. Is it leadership, the risk manager or a committee?



Now we are going to take a look a the Systemic Risk Assessment Template developed by the Office of Licensing. We will also provide you with some tips and reminders to assist you as you complete the annual Systemic Risk Assessment.

### Systemic Risk Assessment Template (April 2023)



- Providers may chose to use the new DBHDS Systemic Risk Assessment (SRA) Template.
- Please note that this <u>is not</u> a required template for a provider's Annual Systemic Risk Assessment; however, utilization of this template will assist providers in achieving compliance with the regulatory requirements outlined in regulation 520.
- The reason providers can use their own format, is because a risks vary.



- Before we talk about the format of the systemic risk assessment template, I'd like to take a few minutes to talk about the risk matrix that's included on the last page of the template.
- So what is a risk matrix?
- If you aren't familiar with this term, a **risk matrix** can be used during a risk assessment to define the level of risk by considering the category of probability against the category of severity.
- This is a simple mechanism to increase visibility of risks and assist management with decision making.
- When creating a risk matrix, the provider could look at the likelihood of something happening and how serious is the risk.
- For example, a serious risk that is likely to occur could result in a score of 3, which is "Unacceptable Risk High" according to this risk matrix, and the risk may need to be addressed immediately.

		Office	e of Licensing		
	document may be used as a ter C35-105-520. This template sh				the requirements
	ired template for a provider's A ance with the regulatory requir			utilization of this template	e will assist provider
Be sure to sign ar	nd date the last page.				
		Annual Systemic	Risk Assessment TEMPLAT	E	
Provider Name: (	Name of Provider	$\square$			
Policy #: Enter Pol	licy Number				
Regulation: 12VA	AC35-105-520				
Clic	k or tap to enter a date.) k or tap to enter a date.) k or tap to enter a date.)				
Risk Areas	Findings	Risk Score (N/A if not used)	Recommendation(s)	Comments/Actions	Add to Risk Date Management (RM) Plan (Yes/No/NA)

- Alright, so let's take a look at the first page of the Systemic Risk Assessment Template
- Again, you are not required to use this template; however, utilization of the template will assist you in achieving compliance with the regulatory requirements.
- When completing the template you will need to fill in the provider name, the provider's policy number (if applicable) and the effective date.
- The effective date is the date in which the provider determines that they will begin to use the form. A revision date would be entered if the provider decides to modify the format of the form.
- At the bottom of the slide, you can see that the template includes columns for the provider to enter the identified risk areas, any findings, a risk score if applicable, recommendations, comments or actions, a column to indicate if the Risk Management plan will be updated and a section for the date.
- Remember, this review will address at a minimum risk areas related to the Environment of care; Clinical assessment or reassessment processes; Staff competence AND adequacy of staffing; Use of high-risk procedures, including seclusion and restraint; and include a review of serious incidents.
- And, don't forget to incorporate those care concerns since that is also a required component of the systemic risk assessment .
- Now I invite you to follow along with me as we look at risk areas. Remember these are just EXAMPLES. The systemic risk assessment will vary depending on numerous factors such as an organization's size, service provided, population served, location, or business model.

Risk Areas	Findings	Risk Score (N/A if not used)	Recommendation(s)	Comments/Actions	Add to Risk Management (RM) Plan (Yes/No/NA)	Date
520.C.	1	Er	wironment of Care			
Floors clean and free of tripping hazards	Cracked bathroom tile floor	2	Replace cracked tile	Work completed	No	4/14/23
Recycling, composting an garbage do not create a nuisance or invite insect or rodents	No issues identified	1	No recommendations at this time.	N/A	No	
Ventilation	Age of the home presents risk	3	Contract with a company to evaluate further	Assigned to Risk Manager who will provide an update by 4/21/23	Yes	
Click or tap here to						

- 520.C.1-The Environment of Care
- The provider identified three areas as it relates to the environment of care
- 1. The first area has to do with floors being clean and free of trip hazards. According to the provider, they identified a cracked bathroom tile floor which resulted in a risk score of 2. Their recommendation was to replace the cracked tile and the work was completed April 14. The provider determined that this would not need to be incorporated into their risk management plan.
- 2. The second area pertains to recycling, composting and garbage so that it does not create a nuisance or invite inspects or rodents. Based on their findings, there were no identified issues and they indicated a risk score of 1. They also noted no recommendations at this time and determined it would not be necessary to add this to the risk management plan.
- 3. The last area has to do with the ventilation in which the provider indicated that the age of the home presents a risk resulting in a risk score of 3. Additionally the provider indicated that they would contract with a company to evaluate this further and assigned this task to the risk manager. The provider also indicated that they would add this to their risk management plan.
- These are just a few examples of risk areas related to the environment of care. Let's move on to Clinical Assessment and Reassessment Processes

Risk Areas	Findings	Risk Score (N/A if not used)	Recommendation(s)	Comments/Actions	Add to Risk Management (RM) Plan (Yes/No/NA)	Date
520.C.	2	Assessr	ment and Reassessment			
Admission assessments include risk of harm to self or others	Process implemented per policy	1	No recommendations at this time.	N/A	No	
Annual Fall Risk Assessments	Procedures are in place but 3 of the 16 individuals did not have an annual fall risk assessment	3	More frequent monitoring by the Program Manager	Program Manager to complete the fall risk assessment by 5/1/23, quarterly audit of individual records is to be shared with	Yes	
				leadership		
(Click or tap here to entert.)	iter					

- 520.C.2-Assessment and Reassessment
- For this section, the provider identified two areas as it relates to assessments and reassessments
- 1. The first one has to do with admission assessments including risk of harm to self or others. According to the provider this process was implemented per their policy which resulted in a risk score of 1.
- 2. The second area pertains to annual fall risk assessments. The provider indicated that procedures are in place but that three of the individuals did not have an annual fall risk assessment. They entered a risk score of 3 and indicated that more frequent monitoring by the program manager was needed and that the program manager would be responsible for completing the fall risk assessments by May 1. It was also noted that they would add this to their risk management plan.
- These are just a few examples of risk areas related to assessment and reassessment processes. Let's move on to Staff Competence and Adequacy of Staffing

Risk Areas	Findings	Risk Score (N/A if not used)	Recommendation(s)	Comments/Actions	Add to Risk Management (RM) Plan (Yes/No/NA)	Date
520.0	C.3 s	taff Compet	ence and Adequacy of Staffing			
Employee CPR/First Aid Certification	1 of 13 employees had an expired CPR/FA certification	3	Remove employee from solo overnight shift and recertification to be completed immediately	Assign to Program Manager to complete by 4/12/23; Revise monitoring system to ensure compliance	Yes	4/12/23
DSP and Supervisor Competencies	1 of 13 DSPs had an incomplete competency in their file	2	Increase frequency of employee audits and finalize the competency with the DSP.	Assign to Program Manager who is also responsible for providing updates during leadership meetings	No	
Insufficient number of staff during the evening shift	Employee burnout resulting in high turnover	3	Increase recruitment efforts using various marketing tools	Assigned to Human Resources to monitor and provide updates to leadership	Yes	
Click or tap here to enter text.						

- 520.C.3-Staff Competency and Adequacy of Staffing
- Don't forget, you need address both Staff Competence AND Adequacy of Staffing, it's not one or the other
- For this section, the provider identified three areas
- 1. The first area has to do with employee CPR/First Aid Certification. It was determined by the provider that one employee had an expired certification. Since that employee works alone overnight it was recommended that employee be removed from the shift and the recertification training be completed immediately. This was assigned to the program manager and it was completed April 12. It was also indicated that the provider would revise their monitoring system and add this to their risk management plan.
- 2. The second area pertains to DSP and Supervisor Competencies. It was identified that one of the DSPs had an incomplete competency in their file. The provider indicated that they would increase the frequency of employee audits and finalize the competency with the DSP. The provider also noted that this would be assigned to the Program Manager who would in turn provide updates to the leadership team. The provider indicated that the risk management plan would not be updated.
- 3. The third area identified relates to insufficient staffing during the evening shift. The provider found that employee burnout was resulting in high turnover. The recommendation was to increase recruitment efforts which was assigned to human resources for monitoring. The provider noted that this would be added to their risk management plan.
- These are just a few examples of risk areas related to employee competency and adequacy of staffing. Let's move on to use of high risk procedures, including seclusion and restraint.

Risk Areas	Findings	Risk Score (N/A if	Recommendation(s)	Comments/Actions	Add to Risk Management (RM) Plan	Date
		not used)			(Yes/No/NA)	
520.C.4		Use of	f High-Risk Procedures			
High risk medications are administered	Documentation of quarterly review of medication errors was not present	3	Nurse manager to report quarterly to the Quality Improvement Committee on medication errors	Quality Improvement (QI) Committee will monitor and determine need for any QI initiatives to address	Yes	
Transportation of individuals using wheelchair accessible vans	No incidents	1	Conduct spot checks to ensure safety protocols are followed	these errors Program Manager to report quarterly on spot checks		
Click or tap here to enter						

520.C.4-Use of High-Risk Procedures including seclusion and restraint

- For this section, the provider identified two areas
- 1. The first area has to with the administration of high risk medications. It was identified that quarterly reviews of medications errors were not completed which resulted in a risk score of 3. It was recommended that the nurse manager report medication errors to the Quality Improvement Committee quarterly. This QI Committee would monitor and determine the need for any QI initiatives to address these errors. It was also noted that the provider would add this to their risk management plan.
- 2. The second area identified pertains to transportation of individuals using wheelchair accessible vans. Even though there were no incidents the provider indicated that they would conduct spot checks to ensure that safety protocols continue to be followed which would be monitored by the program manager.
- These are just a few examples of risk areas related to use of high risk procedures. Let's move on to serious incident reviews
| Risk Areas   | Findings  | Risk<br>Score<br>(N/A if<br>not used) | Recommendation(s)   | Comments/Actions   | Add to Risk<br>Management<br>(RM) Plan<br>(Yes/No/NA) | Date |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|------|
| 520.C.5  |   | Revie                                 | w of Serious Incidents  |  |   |      |
| Serious injury to<br>employees,<br>contractors, volunteers<br>and visitors | Review of incidents<br>indicate increase in<br>incidents involving<br>visitors and<br>contractors       | 2                                     | Further analysis<br>regarding need for<br>more safety<br>procedures   | Risk Manager to<br>present to<br>leadership  | No  |      |
| Quarterly review<br>of serious<br>incidents                                | Level I, II and III serious<br>incidents were<br>reviewed per policy<br>and regulatory<br>requirements  | N/A                                   | More analysis of serious<br>incidents to determine if<br>there are identified<br>trends and/or other<br>systemic issues | Nurse manager and<br>risk manager to<br>conduct trend analysis<br>and report to Risk<br>Mgmt Committee | Yes   |      |
| Serious incident<br>review   | Reviews are<br>conducted per policy.<br>Slight increase in<br>incidents involving<br>elopement over the | 3                                     | Program Manager and<br>Risk Manager to review<br>findings of root cause<br>analysis and ensure<br>recommendations have  | Add efforts to<br>mitigate risks to<br>Risk Management<br>Plan   | Yes   |      |
| ("Click or tap here to enter<br>text.))                                    | past year.  |                                       | been effective in<br>mitigating risks related<br>to elopement.  |  |   |      |

- 520.C.5-Review of Serious Incidents
- For this section, the provider identified three areas
- 1. The first area has to do with serious injuries to employees, contractors, volunteers and visitors. It was noted that a review of incidents indicated an increase in incidents involving visitors and contractors. The provider noted that further analysis was needed and was assigned to the risk manager.
- 2. The second area pertains to their review of serious incidents. It was indicated that the provider reviewed incidents per their policy and the regulatory requirement. The provider recommended that additional analysis of serious incidents was needed to determine if there are any trends or other systemic issues. Both the nurse manager and risk manager were assigned to conduct a risk analysis and report to the risk management committee. Additionally, the provider indicated that this would be added to their risk management plan
- 3. The third area also has to do with their review of serious incidents. It was again noted that reviews were conducted per policy, but they also noted an increase in elopements. Based on their findings, it was recommended that the program manager and risk manager review root cause analyses to ensure that the recommendations have been effective in mitigating risks related to elopements. The provider indicated that they would add efforts to mitigate risks to their risk management plan.
- These are just a few examples of risk areas related the review of serious incidents. Let's move on to the final section, care concerns and additional risks

Risk Areas	Findings	Risk Score (N/A if not used)	Recommendation(s)	Comments/Actions	Add to Risk Management (RM) Plan (Yes/No/NA)	Date
520.D	Ri	sk Triggers a	nd Thresholds (Care Concerns)			
Process in place to monitor care concerns	Individual care concerns involving decubitus ulcers have been addressed through a quality improvement (QI) initiative and performance objective added to the quality improvement plan	3	Continue to monitor all care concerns	Assigned to nursing manager	Yes	4/13/23
			Additional Risks			
LEIE (List of Excluded Individuals/Entities)	Documentation not present for DMAS Quality Management Review	3	Human Resources to establish system per Corrective Action Plan	Report quarterly to Risk Management Committee	Yes	
Financial risks	Vehicular liability insurance increasing	2	Research other insurance companies/rate	Assign to Executive Director	No	

- 520.D-Risk triggers and thresholds
- As previously stated, DBHDS has defined risk triggers and thresholds as care concerns which are identified through the Incident Management Units review of serious incident reporting.
- If a provider has not had any care concerns, the systemic risk assessment review process would still need to outline how they would address care concerns if they were to occur.
- For this example, the provider indicated that there is a process in place to monitor care concerns. It was noted that care concerns involving decubitus ulcers have been addressed through a Quality Improvement initiative and that a performance objective was added to the Quality Improvement Plan. The provider indicated that they would continue to monitor all care concerns. Additionally, they updated their risk management plan April 13.
- As you can see, the systemic risk assessment template also includes a section for the provider to document other risk areas. Remember, systemic risk assessments vary depending on several factors such as the organization's size, service provided, population served, location, or business model.
- Now let's move on to the last page of the template.



- This colorful chart should look familiar. Yes, it's that risk matrix that we discussed earlier and it's located on the last page of the template to assist you in determining a risk score
- As you can see, the systemic risk assessment template includes both a signature line and a line for the completion date.
- Don't forget, the Systemic Risk Assessment is required to be completed at least annually!
- If it's not dated then reviewers cannot verify that the Systemic Risk Assessment was conducted at least annually.
- If your Systemic Risk Assessment includes a signature line, like the template presented, then it must be signed.



- Questions To Ask Yourself After Completing the SRA
- Is our agency's Systemic Risk Assessment (SRA) dated?
- Does our Systemic Risk Assessment (SRA) incorporate a review of identified risks associated with Environment of Care?
- Does our Systemic Risk Assessment (SRA) incorporate a review of identified risks associated with Clinical Assessment or Reassessment Processes?
- Does our Systemic Risk Assessment (SRA) incorporate a review of identified risks associated with Staff Competence and Adequacy of Staffing?
- Does our Systemic Risk Assessment (SRA) incorporate a review of identified risks associated with Use of High Risk Procedures?
- Does our Systemic Risk Assessment demonstrate that individual care concern triggers were reviewed and includes the actions our agency took when the threshold was met?
- If our agency has not had any care concerns, does our Systemic Risk Assessment review
  process outline how we would address care concerns if they were to occur?
- Did we identify year-over-trends and patterns and the use of baseline data to assess the effectiveness of risk management systems?
- Based on this information, do these risks need to be addressed in our Risk Management Plan or as part of our Quality Improvement Plan?

Systemic Risk Assessm	ent - <mark>Non-Comp</mark>	liant
<ul> <li>Environment of Care section is missing. The risk area labeled as "Environmental Safety" suggests the completion of</li> </ul>	Risk Areas	essment Review Form Measure shall develop a crisis intervention
mandated safety inspections.	Clinical Assessments Timely	and clinical emergency plan to implement in the event of emergency situations as measured by ensuring that emergency
<ul> <li>Review of Serious Incidents should also include a review of Level 1 incidents.</li> </ul>	Environmental Safety	medical information is readily available to employees shall conduct and document
<ul> <li>SRA does not identify risks based on the provider's size,</li> </ul>		annual safety inspections for the residential site such as fire extinguishers, first aid kits, emergency exits, fire drills, and other emergency vacuation drills as
population served, and/or unique risks associated with the provider's business model.	Serious Incidents Level II / Level III	measured by monthly inspections. shall report all serious incidents to ao. individual receiving services by reporting and forwarding to all parties within 24 hours of discovery as measured
• SRA does not include both internal and external risk factors.	Staff competence and adequacy of staffing.	by constant monitoring, and a daily checklist. shall review staff competencies monthly as measured by testing, updating new materials as measured by DBHDS
<ul> <li>SRA does not include any "findings", recommendations/action plan, or how to monitor identified</li> </ul>	Use of high risk procedures, including seclusion and restraint; and	updated information.
risk.		is not the first answer of high use risk procedure, but if the individual becomes aggressive and attacks all staff of
SRA does not include risk triggers and thresholds.		shall learn to restrain and protect themselves and the individual with 100% accuracy as measured by an assessment, videos, and meetings discussions.
Virginia Department of Behavioral Health & Developmental Services	Origination Date: 8/22/2021	Revision Date:
Developmental Services		

Let's take a look at non-compliant systemic risk assessment.

For this systemic risk assessment:

- The environment of Care section is missing. The risk area labeled as "Environmental Safety" suggests the completion of mandated safety inspections.
- The review of Serious Incidents should also include a review of Level 1 incidents.
- The SRA does not identify risks based on the provider's size, population served, and/or unique risks associated with the provider's business model.
- The SRA does not include both internal and external risk factors.
- The SRA does not include any findings, recommendations, comments or actions, or how to monitor identified risks.
- The SRA does not include risk triggers and thresholds also known as care concerns as required per regulation 520.D.

	COMPLETED BY: Date: 10/1/21						
	Environment of Care	Findings	Risk Score	Recommendations	Comment/Actions	Date	
	Compliance with all licensing regulations for Physical Environment and Fire Inspections	Sensor light on the side of the house not working properly	2	Have Electrician replace it	Work Completed	10/20/2021	
	There is a working fire alarm systems	Fire alarm beeping	2	Replace battery	Work Completed	11/3/2021	
	Assessment and Reassessment			- Æ			
	Physical exams and TB tests for individuals are completed prior to admission	No issues Identified	1	No Recommendations at this time	N/A		
	Physical exams for individuals are completed annually	No issues Identified	1	No Recommendations at this time	N/A		
· ·	staff competence incidents) ✓ It includes a sect ✓ The systemic rist	y/staffing, use tion to address k assessment ic	of high risk tri lentifie	nment of care, assess -risk procedures, and ggers and thresholds s specific risks associ those risks are being	d review of se ated with ea	erious	

### Now let's look at a compliant systemic risk assessment

- ✓ The systemic risk assessment is dated
- ✓ It includes the five (5) elements (environment of care, assessment/reassessment, staff competency and adequacy staffing, use of high-risk procedures, and review of serious incidents)

	SRA E	xample	Con	tinue-Com	oliant	 	
	Employee Competency and						
	Adequacy of Staffing Staffing schedules are consistent with the provider's staffing plan	No issues Identified	1	No Recommendations at this time	N/A		
	Employees or contractors are trained to meet the specialized health needs of individuals	No issues Identified	1	No Recommendations at this time	N/A		
	Use of High-Risk Procedures						
	The organization has defined high risk procedures to include, at a minimum, the use of seclusion, restraint, and electroconvulsive therapy (ECT).	No issues Identified Richmond Home does not practice the use of seclusion, restraint and electroconvulsive therapy	2	No Recommendations at this time	N/A		
	A root cause analysis is conducted for Level II and Level III incidents within 30 days of incident	No issues Identified	1	No Recommendations at this time	N/A		
	Review of Serious Incidents						
	Medication errors are reviewed whether or not they resulted in an injury or harm.	No issues Identified	1	No Recommendations at this time	N/A		
	There is a review and follow- up whenever a single serious incident or a pattern of incidents is identified	No issues Identified	1	No Recommendations at this time	N/A		
	Risk Triggers and Thresholds						
	Reports are run in CHRIS to determine if any individual care concern thresholds have been met.	N/A					
Virginia Department of Behavioral Health & Developmental Services	Have any thresholds been met?	N/A					Slide 78

- $\checkmark\,$  It also includes a section to address risk triggers and thresholds
- ✓ The systemic risk assessment identifies specific risks associated with each of the six regulatory elements and how those risks are being addressed.
- ✓ All components of the SRA are clearly labeled.



## **Tips and Reminders**

Don't forget, the Systemic Risk Assessment (SRA) really has six components! 520.C.1-5 and 520.D

12VAC35-105-520.C.The provider shall conduct systemic risk assessment reviews at least annually to identify and respond to practices, situations, and policies that could result in the risk of harm to individuals receiving services. The risk assessment review shall address at least the following: 1.The environment of care;

- 2. Clinical assessment or reassessment processes;
- *3. Staff competence and adequacy of staffing;*
- 4. Use of high risk procedures, including seclusion and restraint; and
- 5. A review of serious incidents.

#### <u>AND</u>

12VAC35-105-520.D.The systemic risk assessment review process shall incorporate uniform risk triggers and thresholds as defined by the department. Defined by the department as Care Concerns.

Don't forget, the Systemic Risk Assessment (SRA) really has six components! 520.C.1-5 and 520.D

Make sure all components of your SRA are clearly labeled.

Tips and Reminders	Determine a format. Every provider can use their own format, as every providers' risks will vary.			
	Determine who will conduct the systemic risk assessment (leadership, risk manager, committee, etc.).			
	Think outside the box when identifying risk areas. There is not a set number of risks for each section.			
	Ensure the systemic risk assessment (SRA) is:			
	<ul> <li>Conducted at least annually, which is verified by including the date(s) the provider conducted their annual risk assessment;</li> <li>Informs the risk management plan; and</li> <li>Incorporates uniform risk triggers and thresholds.</li> </ul>			
	The Annual Systemic Risk Assessment (SRA) must be dated so reviewers can verify that it was conducted at least annually.			

• Here are some more important tips and reminders.

# Tips and Reminders

- A systemic risk assessment is required for all service types and all required areas must be reviewed.
- As noted in the Guidance for Risk Management, the annual systemic risk assessment is a necessary component of a provider's risk management plan.
- Upon completion of the risk assessment, the provider should consider next steps:
  - Assign recommendations to appropriate staff members, departments and/or committees.
  - Determine what recommendations to include in the risk management plan.
  - Determine how to monitor risk reduction strategies for effectiveness.
  - Continue to conduct systemic risk assessment reviews as needed.



And now back to Mary Beth to review the homework for today.



Thank you, Mackenzie!

So, as promised, we have homework for you today! Don't worry, it won't be graded. The focus of today's presentation has been the systemic risk assessment.

Your homework is to take at least One step to begin your systemic risk assessment Right Now. If you've already begun it, take this opportunity to update or add to it. Some ideas would be:

Use the new systemic risk assessment template that Mackenzie introduced to you! This template was sent to you prior to today's training so you should have it in your email box.

Also, start identifying your risk areas within each category.

Think about convening your team to begin or update your assessment.

Think about – does it include all 6 components? Does it have a date on it? Be prepared to share your homework at next Friday's session!



That brings us ALMOST to the end of today's session.

We want to thank you for attending today's session and hope to see you at next Friday's session!

As a reminder, a FAQ along with the slides and handouts will be available after the training. Last but not least, we have a post-test! Please take time to do the post test!

The link has been emailed to you. We'd love for you to take the time to do it NOW while the training is fresh on your mind. However, we are keeping it open until Tuesday April 18 at Noon. It's important for us to hear from you...We need to know what you got out of the training and how we can improve it.

- Link: ...
- The next three slides have additional resources, so you'll have them available when you receive the slides.
- Again, please go take the post-test.
- Goodbye and have a great day!

## **Existing Risk Management Resources**

QI-RM-RCA Webinar Recording December 2021 (February 2022)

QI-RM-RCA Webinar (December 2021)

Risk Management & Quality Improvement Strategies Training by the Center for Developmental

Disabilities Evaluation and Research – Handout (December 2020)

Risk Management & Quality Improvement Strategies Training by the Center for Developmental

Disabilities Evaluation & Research – Recorded Webinar (December 2020)

Updated Crosswalk of DBHDS Approved Attestation Trainings (August 2022)

Updated Risk Management Attestation Form (August 2022)

Sample Provider Systemic Risk Assessment (February 2022)

Sample Provider Risk Management Plan (June 2021)

Flow-Chart Incident Reviews (April 2022)



Slide 84

## **Existing Risk Management Resources**

QI-RM-RCA Webinar (December 2021)

Regulatory Compliance with Risk Management Regulations Training (December 2021)

Risk Management Tips and Tools Training (June 2021)

Risk Management & Quality Improvement Strategies Training by the Center for

Developmental Disabilities Evaluation & Research – Recorded Webinar (December 2020)

Risk Management Training (November 2020)

2023 Care Concern Threshold Criteria Memo (February 2023)

IMU Care Concern PowerPoint Training (February 2023)

Risk Triggers and Threshold Handout (February 2023)

Risk Management Q&A's (Updated July 2022)







